

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Michigan

United States of America)

v.)

SEANN PATRICK PIETILA)

Case No. 1:23-mj-278)

)

)

)

)

Defendant(s)

CRIMINAL COMPLAINT

I, the complainant in this case, state that the following is true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

On or about the date(s) of June 1-2, 2023 in the county of Ingham in the
Western District of Michigan, the defendant(s) violated:

Code Section

Offense Description


18 U.S.C. § 875(c)

Interstate Communications

This criminal complaint is based on these facts:

☒ Continued on the attached sheet.

The Court processed the complaint remotely. The Court verified the Affiant's identity (by AUSA confirmation and through Affiant self-identification). Affiant attested to the affidavit and complaint via telephone, which the AUSA transmitted by remote electronic means (e-mail). The Court signed the original complaint and transmitted a correct copy of same to the Applicant, via the AUSA, by remote electronic means (e-mail). The process complied with Rules 3 and 4.1.



Complainant's signature

Ryan D. Roskey Special Agent FBI

Printed name and title



Judge's signature

Maarten Vermaat, U.S. Magistrate Judge

Printed name and title

Date: 06/16/2023City and state: Marquette, Michigan